Order

ATF O 5370.1C

SUBJECT: FEDERAL FIREARMS ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION POLICY AND PROCEDURES

DATE: 2/21/2017 OPI RECERTIFICATION DATE: 2/21/2022

OPI: 700000

TO: ALL ATF OFFICES

- 1. <u>PURPOSE</u>. This order provides fair and consistent guidelines for administrative remedies for violations disclosed relative to inspections of Federal firearm licensees (FFLs).
- <u>CANCELLATION</u>. This order cancels ATF O 5370.1B, Federal Firearms Administrative Action Policy and Procedures, dated February 8, 2013.
- 3. <u>DISCUSSION</u>. This order identifies the minimum guidelines for administrative action but does not address every potential scenario or violation. Each field division's Director of Industry Operations (DIO) should take the appropriate actions to ensure licensee compliance, protecting the public through proper application of this order. While it is desirable to have definitive guidelines in this area, every inspection is unique.
 - a. Administrative action is defined as a Warning Letter, Area Supervisor Warning Conference, DIO Warning Conference, revocation, fine, and/or suspension of a Federal Firearms License, including a recommendation of denial of an original or renewal application. The issuance of a Report of Violations, ATF Form 5030.5, is not an administrative action but rather a documentation of inspection findings.
 - b. This policy is for internal guidance only and is law enforcement sensitive. It is not intended—and should not be construed—to create any right or benefit, substantive or procedural, enforceable at law by a party against the United States and its agencies, officers, or employees.
- 4. <u>BACKGROUND</u>. This order sets forth the general policy guidelines for administrative action recommendations for FFLs.
 - a. This is a national policy for determining administrative actions to promote consistent and equitable resolutions of violations of the Gun Control Act (GCA). The field should pursue all administrative actions in relation to the cited violations and their circumstances. The nature of the violations and their impact on public safety and the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives' (ATF) ability to reduce violent crime are significant considerations for the appropriate administrative action. ATF may also consider mitigating factors. This order will allow for the most efficient use of ATF resources while maintaining the goal of compliance throughout the industry.
 - b. ATF inspects licensees to ensure compliance with Federal firearms laws by educating licensees on their responsibilities, verifying they are complying with the provisions of the GCA and its implementing regulations, and analyzing operations to detect possible diversion of firearms from legal commerce through regulatory inspections. Compliance with the GCA by the firearms industry is an essential part of addressing nationwide crime control and public safety efforts as outlined in ATF's Strategic Plan.

c. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 923(e), ATF may only revoke a Federal firearms license for willful violations of the GCA and its implementing regulations. The term willfulness means a purposeful disregard of, a plain indifference to, or reckless disregard of a known legal obligation. ATF may also revoke or suspend a license, or impose a civil fine for certain knowing violations of the Brady Handgun Violence Act and the Child Safety Lock Act of 2005. ATF may revoke for any willful violation of the GCA including all of the violations discussed in this order and any other violation of the GCA.

5. <u>REFERENCES</u>.

- a. ATF O 5000.19, Industry Operations Manual, dated 12/11/2012. <u>https://share.doj.gov/GetConnected/Directorates/700000/700000/IOI%20Manual/IO%20</u> <u>Manual%20May%202016%20Edition%20(FINAL).pdf</u>
- ATF O 1100.168B, Delegation Order—Delegation of Authorities within the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, dated 10/27/2015.
- c. Title 27 CFR Part 478.
- d. Title 18 U.S.C. 922.
- e. Title 18 U.S.C. 923.
- f. Title 18 U.S.C 924.
- g. ATF O 3200.1A, Monitored Case Program, dated 3/19/2015.

6. POLICY.

- a. Unified Administrative Action Policy and Procedures.
 - (1) This order establishes a unified plan of action for resolution of violations through administrative action. The plan groups types of violations into categories for which specific administrative actions are recommended.
 - (2) This national policy will assist Special Agents in Charge (SACs), DIOs, field counsel, area supervisors, and IOIs in making appropriate recommendations.
 - (3) Each inspection has unique and sometimes complex circumstances. Therefore, even in cases where violations appear willful, the field should consider the following questions when recommending administrative action:
 - (a) Is the FFL willing/able to achieve and maintain voluntary compliance?
 - (b) Will the continued operation of the FFL pose a threat to public safety or contribute to violent crime and other criminal activities?
 - (c) Is the FFL taking responsibility for violations and willing to work with ATF to correct them?
 - (d) Does the FFL understand the importance of firearms traceability and GCA records in protecting the public and reducing violent crime?
 - (e) Do violations have a nexus to persons prohibited from possessing firearms?
- b. <u>Report of Violations</u>. The field will issue a Report of Violations, ATF F 5030.5, to the FFL for all violations identified during the inspection. This action does not require a determination of willfulness.

Warning Letter. The field may issue a Warning Letter when violations of 27 CFR, Part 478; require a formal documented action on the part of ATF beyond the Report of Violations. The area supervisor may decide that a warning letter is not necessary based on the nature and number of violations, improvement in business operations, record keeping, etc., since the previous inspection, FFL's compliance history, time elapsed since previous inspection and other relevant factors may be considered. Sending a Warning Letter to a FFL does not require a determination of willfulness. Violations that generally merit a Warning Letter as the minimum administrative action include—but are not limited to—the following:

- (1) Failure to timely and/or correctly maintain records of receipt, manufacture, importation or other acquisition on 5 percent or more of the licensee's total acquisitions during the inspection period, with a minimum of 10 instances.
- (2) Failure to timely and/or correctly maintain records of sales or other dispositions on 5 percent or more of the licensee's total dispositions during the inspection period, with a minimum of 10 instances.
- (3) Failure by the licensee to obtain complete and accurate information for any item(s) on Forms 4473, questions 11 and 12, or the buyer fails to sign and date the Form 4473 in Section A on 5 percent or more of the Forms 4473 examined except when any purchaser is prohibited - refer to subparagraph 6.e.(3).
- (4) Failure to record valid and complete transferee identification (ID) on 10 percent or more of the Forms 4473 examined.
- (5) Failure to record any transferee ID on 10 percent or more of the Forms 4473 examined.
- (6) Failure to file Reports of Multiple Sale or Other Disposition of Pistols and Revolvers (F 3310.4) or Reports of Multiple Sales or Dispositions of Certain Rifles (F 3310.12) (Southwest Border states only) when legally required and with a minimum of five instances.
- (7) Missing firearms after inventory reconciliation (e.g., no records of disposition, required or otherwise).
- (8) Transfer of a rifle/shotgun to a resident of another State that violates State law.
- (9) Failure to execute a Form 4473 for:

C.

- The return of a firearm that was consigned to the licensee;
- (b) The transfer of a firearm to a law enforcement officer for personal use; or
- (c) The transfer of a firearm out of the business inventory to an FFL responsible person (other than a sole-proprietor), if the transferee is not prohibited.
- (10) Failure to conduct a NICS check or obtain alternative permit for:
 - (a) The transfer of a firearm to a person, including a responsible person of an FFL, who is not prohibited.
 - (b) The return of firearm that was consigned to the licensee;
 - (c) The transfer of a firearm to a law enforcement officer for personal use

- (11) Failure to retrieve a NICS (or equivalent State background check system) echeck response prior to the transfer of a firearm, and the transferee is not prohibited.
- (12) FFL transfers a firearm prior to receiving a final NICS response (or applicable State background check system) and 3 business days (or the state waiting period) have not elapsed, and the person is not prohibited.
- (13) FFL fails to notify ATF about a change of control.
- (14) FFL is engaged in an activity not authorized by the Federal firearms license (e.g., engaging in manufacturing firearms without a manufacturer's license).
- (15) Failure to initiate a new NICS check when a transaction is not completed within the 30-day period from the date NICS was initially contacted provided that the person is not prohibited.
- (16) Failure to timely report upon discovery of the theft or loss of a firearm to either ATF or local law enforcement.
- (17) Failure to record NICS or Point of Contact background check information on 10 percent or more of Forms 4473 examined, with a minimum of 10 instances.
- (18) ATF has previously cited the FFL in a Report of Violations within the previous 5 years and the current inspection reveals repeated similar violation(s) with no significant improvement.
- d. <u>Area Supervisor Warning Conference</u>. A Warning Conference lets an area supervisor assess the FFL's potential to achieve compliance and determine any potential risks to public safety and firearm traceability. It does not require a determination of willfulness.
 - (1) It is generally appropriate when the FFL has previously been the subject of a Warning Letter or Warning Conference, and the current inspection indicates similar repeat violations, though it may be appropriate to hold a Warning Conference for an FFL without a history of previous violations or a Warning Letter in certain circumstances. The area supervisor may decide that a Warning Letter or no administrative action is appropriate based on the nature and number of violations, improvement with business operations since the previous inspection, compliance history, time elapsed since any previous administrative action, and other relevant factors.
 - (2) If the violations involve a corporation, ATF must invite a corporate responsible person to the area supervisor led conference. (Ensure a copy is sent to the FFL's corporate office.)
 - (3) During the conference, ATF should provide the FFL with specific instructions to achieve GCA compliance, and emphasize the mutual goal of ATF and the industry to safeguard the public.
 - (4) Examples of violations that generally merit a Warning Conference as the minimum administrative action, include but are not limited to the following:
 - (a) Transfer of a firearm (including lower receiver) other than a rifle or shotgun to an out-of-State resident.
 - (b) Failure to mark imported or manufactured firearms appropriately.
 - (c) Failure to execute a Form 4473 (Exception: Use a warning letter for consignment sales, transfers to law enforcement officers for personal use, and FFL responsible persons – see paragraph 6.c.(9).

ATF O 5370.1C 2/21/2017

- (d) Failure to report theft or loss to both ATF and local law enforcement.
- (e) Conducting business at locations not authorized as an extension of the licensed business premises.
- (f) FFL has previously been the subject of a Warning Letter within the previous 5 years and the current inspection reveals repeated similar violation(s).
- e. <u>DIO Led Warning Conference</u>. The DIO may choose to hold a Warning Conference in response to violation that may be considered willful and may require pursuing revocation. However, willfulness is not required to hold a DIO led warning conference.
 - (1) In the instances wherein the DIO is considering revocation, the invitation letter for the conference shall inform the FFL of the reasons calling for the Warning Conference or consideration of revocation, afford FFL the opportunity to demonstrate or achieve compliance with all lawful requirements, and to submit facts, arguments, or proposals of adjustment. (A sample letter is attached as Exhibit 1 to this order). The DIO meeting with the FFL may include division counsel and will discuss means to achieve compliance, the DIO may request written proposals designed to achieve GCA compliance.
 - (2) If prior to holding a DIO led Warning Conference, the DIO determines the violations do not merit revocation, the DIO submits an alternate recommendation on Exhibit-8c (Monitored Case Program, ATF O 3200.1A), with division counsel's review to the FMS-ISB mailbox for notification and advisement to proceed in the division. (A DIO led Warning Conference cannot be delegated to an SOO or area supervisor.)
 - (a) If the FFL does not respond to the Warning Conference notification letter and the there is evidence that the violations are willful, the DIO may revoke (or deny a renewal application for) the Federal firearms license.
 - (b) Additionally, the DIO may decide after meeting with the FFL, or after offering opportunity for compliance, to proceed with revocation (renewals/denials) if the DIO believes the public interest is best served by revoking or denying renewal of the Federal firearms license, the DIO will proceed accordingly. In any situation in which the DIO seeks revocation or denial of renewal, notification of the proposed course of action must be made through FMS-ISB.
 - (3) In instances in which the DIO determines that the violations were not willful and/or the FFL is likely to come into compliance, the DIO Warning Conference shall be the final administrative action.

f. Revocation Under 18 U.S.C. 923(e)

(1) It is necessary to establish willfulness— the field division must prove that the licensee knew its legal obligations under the GCA and either purposefully disregarded or demonstrated a plain indifference or a reckless disregard to them—to proceed with revocation under 18 U.S.C. 923(e). Not every repeat violation is per se a willful violation. A single, or even a few, inadvertent errors in failing to complete forms may not amount to "willful" failures, even when the FFL knew of the legal requirement to complete the forms. However, if such errors continue or even increase after repeated warnings and explanations of the severity of the failures, one may infer as a matter of law that the licensee simply does not care about the legal requirements. The failures then show the FFL's plain indifference and therefore become willful.

- (2) ATF does not have to establish a history of prior violations to demonstrate willfulness. Accordingly, ATF may revoke a Federal firearms license under appropriate circumstances based on an initial set of violations, if it meets the elements of willfulness, such as an intentional straw sale or knowingly transferring to a prohibited person, refusing ATF right of entry and inspections during hours of operation at the licensed premises, discontinuing use of GCA required records, knowingly entering false information or intentionally making false statements involving required records under the GCA.
- (3) ATF can establish the knowledge element of willfulness in several ways:
 - (a) Establish the FFL has a history of similar, repeat violations, and the inspection report documents that an IOI discussed them with the FFL. The FFL's compliance history can include other efforts by ATF (including qualification inspections) to inform the FFL about its legal responsibilities.
 - (b) Use inspection reports to establish willfulness even if the inspection found no violations (i.e., acknowledgment of Federal firearms regulations).
 - (c) Statements or admissions communicated by the FFL or its employee(s), as well as actions by the FFL or its employee(s) during an inspection that hindered or obstructed the inspection, or other evidence, can also establish willfulness.
- (4) ATF field counsel must evaluate the facts and circumstances surrounding an FFL's alleged violation(s) to determine willfulness before submitting to Headquarters for further review. Simultaneously, a copy of the Exhibit 8C will be submitted to the FMS-ISB mailbox for monitoring purposes. It can be later removed if the field division decides not to pursue Monitored Case Program actions. (See 9(d)(3) for Regional ACC concurrence)
- (5) Revocation is an appropriate licensing action under section 923(e) and is strongly recommended in response to the discovery of the following willful violations which directly impact the public:
 - (a) Transfer of a firearm to a prohibited person while knowing or having reasonable cause to believe that the transferee is a prohibited person.
 - (b) Allow an employee who is a prohibited person to have actual or constructive possession of a firearm while knowing or having reasonable cause to believe that the employee is a prohibited person.
 - (c) Actively engage in a straw purchase transaction (i.e., the licensee knows or has reasonable cause to believe that the transferee of record is not the actual buyer.)
 - (d) Falsify records required under the GCA or making a false or fictitious written statement in the FFL's required records or in applying for a firearms license.
 - (e) Withhold or misrepresent material information in applying for a license after being provided education and opportunity to correct the misrepresented information.
 - (f) Failure to conduct a National Instant Criminal Background Check System (NICS) check or obtain alternative permit OR fail to retrieve a NICS (or equivalent State POC background check system) response prior to the

transfer of a firearm (exceptions: consignment sales, transfer to a law enforcement officer for personal use; and transfer to an FFL responsible person) and the purchaser is prohibited.

- (g) Transfer of a firearm prior to receiving a final NICS response (or applicable State POC background check) and 3 days have not elapsed since the FFL contacted the system and the purchaser is prohibited.
- (h) Failure to create or discontinue use of required GCA records.
- Failure to retain required GCA records for transferred firearms for period of time specified by regulation.
- (j) Failure to account for acquired firearms which records indicate were in inventory within the previous 5 years after reconciliation for which disposition could not be accounted for in required GCA records (e.g. acquisition and disposition record, F 4473) and is a repeat violation of increased frequency.
- (k) Refused ATF right of entry and inspection during hours of operation at the licensed premise.
- Failure to respond to a firearm trace request within 24 hours and is a repeat violation.
- (m) Discovery of a firearm with an obliterated serial number in FFL's inventory.
- (n) Transfer of a firearm to an underage person.
- (o) The FFL has been the subject of a DIO led WC ILO Revocation within the previous 5 years and the current inspection reveals repeated similar violations(s) with no significant improvement.
- (p) Any other GCA violation not specifically addressed in this order where revocation may be appropriate.
- g. Fines/Suspensions/Revocation/Fine Suspension under 18 U.S.C. 922(t) or 922(z)
 - (1) ATF may revoke, suspend, and/or impose a civil fine for knowing violations of the Brady Handgun Violence Prevention Act and the Child Safety Lock Act of 2005. See 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(t), 922(z) and 924(p). In both situations, the proposed sanction (revocation, suspension, and/or fine) must be specifically spelled out in the Notice to Revoke or Suspend License and/or Impose a Civil Fine. The FFL has the same rights to appeal as in other GCA administrative hearings
 - (2) ATF can revoke, suspend, and/or fine an FFL pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 922(t)(5). This allows for revocation, suspension for not more than 6 months, and/or a civil fine for the transfer of a firearm and failure to conduct a NICS check required by 18 U.S.C. § 922(t)(1), where NICS was available and the person would have been denied had a NICS check been conducted. The transfer of the firearm and the failure to conduct the NICS check must be done knowingly.
 - (3) ATF can revoke, suspend, and/or fine an FFL pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 924(p) for failure to comply with 18 U.S.C. § 922(z)(1) and provide a secure gun storage or a safety device with each transfer of a handgun. With certain exceptions, this allows for the revocation, suspension for not more than 6 months, and/or a civil fine for the transfer of a handgun to a non-licensee unless the transferee is

provided with a secure gun storage or safety device.

h. Denials.

- (1) An application for a Federal firearms license may only be denied if the applicant does not meet one or more of the licensing criteria set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 923(d). Generally, ATF will deny an application for renewal of a Federal Firearms License based on willful violations of the GCA and the same criteria and procedures outlined for revocation under section 923(e) in section 6 above.
- (2) ATF will deny applications in which an applicant: failed to provide material information required on the application or the applicant is less than 21 years old, a prohibited person, made a material false statement on the application, or is a prior willful violator of the GCA. These situations may include "application denial," "hidden ownerships," or "straw applications," which usually involve situations where past willful violators or prohibited persons are responsible parties but are not listed on the application. ATF may also base a revocation on hidden ownership. In certain situations, a referral to ATF Criminal Enforcement may be appropriate.

Other Administrative Resolutions.

- (1) Pursuant to 27 CFR 478.72-74, the DIO may afford the FFL/applicant the opportunity to submit facts and arguments for review, consideration and make offers of settlement before or after the issuance of a Notice of Revocation/Denial requiring DAD (IO) approval. Examples include a request for a liquidation or temporary closure period; a requested date of revocation of the Federal firearms license after an agreed upon period after revocation. In appropriate situations, wherein the division believes the case may be resolved without conducting a hearing, the DIO may also offer the FFL an opportunity to meet with him/her to discuss potential resolutions of the matter after the issuance of a Notice of Revocation or Denial. (See Exhibit 3 sample letter.)
- (2) Following the issuance of the Notice to Revoke/Deny a DIO may consider alternatives to issuing a Final Notice of Denial of Application, Revocation, Suspension, and/or Fine of a Federal firearms license with the concurrence of the DAD (IO). The DIO should consult and work closely with field counsel on the negotiation of terms and conditions to which ATF may appropriately agree to settle or resolve.
- <u>GENERAL GUIDANCE</u>. The division management team may consider the appropriate administrative actions. The DIO will seek advice of field counsel and the Associate Chief Counsel (East/Central/West), when seeking Administrative Actions (Revocation/Denial) for DAD (IO) approval and the AD (FO) when considering the appropriate administrative action.
 - a. This order does not mandate doing any administrative action in a sequential or consecutive order. For example, a Warning Conference may be held or revocation sought after the first inspection if violations impact public safety or obstruct firearms traceability. Conversely, a Warning Letter may be issued even if the prior inspection resulted in a Warning Conference.
 - b. The DIO or area supervisor will conduct Warning Conferences. The DIO must hold DIO Warning Conferences and may not delegate them to an area supervisor.
 - c. The DIO will ensure the timely initiation and completion of administrative action occurs within 30 days of the IOI report submission date. They should finalize and issue the postconference letter for cases requiring an Area Supervisor Warning Conference, including a DIO Warning Conference, no later than 90 days after final DIO review unless there are mitigating circumstances. The area supervisor should issue a Warning Letter within 15

days of his or her review.

- d. The area office should submit cases involving potential denials/revocations to the DIO within 30 days of the IOI report submission date. The DIO has 120 days, inclusive of Counsel review upon receipt of the report to issue the Notice. Field counsel will have a maximum of 60 days to review and prepare the Notice in final form for submission to the DIO for review and issuance. The DAD (IO) will be notified by the DIO via the FMS-ISB mailbox if these periods are not met.
- e. Criminal violations and violations that pose a danger to the public should be grounds for revocation, and the field must immediately notify ATF criminal enforcement. This may include situations where firearms are subject to seizure and forfeiture. This order does not prevent division management from pursuing simultaneous regulatory and criminal actions with the concurrence of the U.S. Attorney's Office. There may be instances where the Government resolves the case through a revocation and a criminal plea. If ATF pursues revocation, it will follow procedures, including those required by ATF O 3200.1A, Monitored Case Program.

8. HEADQUARTERS POLICY REVIEW AND ALTERNATE RECOMMENDATIONS.

- ATF must handle inspections and investigations with recommendations for administrative actions that meet the criteria of the Monitored Case Program (e.g. Revocations, Denials, etc.) per ATF O 3200.1A.
- b. Alternate recommendations to revocation, denial, and—in certain circumstances—DIO Warning Conferences (see section 6.e. (3)) require concurrence of the DAD (IO) before proceeding. Refer to Area Supervisor Manual for additional guidance.
- 9. <u>FIELD RESPONSIBILITIES</u>. Responsibilities for field personnel and management are as follows:
 - a. Industry Operations Investigator. The IOI:
 - (1) Will conduct the inspection per established ATF guidelines, including use of the standard narrative report format and associated worksheets. If they uncover violations, the IOI will obtain and preserve all available evidence and document the violations to show if the violations were willful, including copies of Forms 4473 and Acquisition and Disposition records since only documented violations will be cited in the Notice. The IOI will then make the appropriate recommendation based on the guidelines contained herein and forward the inspection report to the area supervisor.
 - (2) Must communicate with and notify the area supervisor of violations, findings, and other circumstances impacting public safety upon discovery.
 - b. <u>Area Supervisor</u>. The area supervisor:
 - (1) Will review all firearms inspection reports to ensure the IOI's recommendation meets established guidelines and evidentiary requirements, they adequately performed all relevant inspection work steps, and they correctly entered all necessary data in the case management system.
 - (2) Must communicate with the DIO and notify them of violations, findings, and other circumstances impacting public safety upon discovery.
 - (3) Independently evaluate the recommendation and narrative and insert their recommendation in the case management system. If they disagree with the IOI recommendation, the area supervisor will document the reason(s) within the recommendation section of the case management system. The area supervisor should submit cases involving any warning conference, revocation, denial, fine, or suspension to the DIO within 30 days of the IOI report submission date.

- c. <u>Director of Industry Operations</u>. The DIO shall:
 - (1) Review all inspection reports that recommend a Warning Conference and or alternates and return to the area supervisor within 15 calendar days. Denial, revocation, inspections and/or inspections involving suspensions/fines authorized by statute and include a recommendation in the case management system and justification if appropriate through the Monitored Case Program FMS-ISB submission process.
 - (2) Request legal advice from field counsel in all potential DIO Warning Conferences, denials, revocations, and/or suspensions/fines concerning willfulness determinations and litigation hazards.
 - (3) Advise the SAC of any administrative actions that deal with potential revocation, denial, suspension/fine recommendations, and other administrative resolutions.
- d. Counsel.
 - (1) At the request of the DIO, field counsel will review inspection reports and provide legal advice on willfulness determinations and significant litigation hazards in all administrative actions. If counsel believes there is insufficient evidence to proceed with the proposed administrative action or believes there are substantial litigation hazards, they will provide written analysis.
 - (2) The DIO and counsel should use discretion in determining which violations to allege and only allege willful violations in the Notice. In certain instances, it may not be in the interest of the Government to cite isolated violations wherein there are adequate willful violations to sustain a revocation.
 - (3) The associate chief counsel for the applicable field division will review and approve all proposed Notices and administrative resolutions for legal soundness, and compliance with this policy prior to Monitored Case Program submissions implementing these actions.
- e. <u>Special Agent in Charge (SAC)</u>. The SAC has ultimate division responsibility to ensure that this national policy is properly enforced. To this end, they may establish additional controls in their division.
- 10. <u>RECORDS RETENTION REQUIREMENTS</u>. ATF must retain documents outlined in this order per ATF's records retention requirements and guidelines.
- 11. <u>QUESTIONS</u>. If you have any questions regarding this order, please contact the Field Management Staff.

Michael Dleysteen

Assistant Director (Office of Field Operations)

EXHIBIT 1: DIO LEAD WARNING CONFERENCE LETTER

Certified Mail Mr. John Doe Gun Shop, Inc., 123 Anywhere St Anywhere USA 00000

Re: Federal Firearms License

Dear Mr. Doe:

As you are aware, investigators from the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives ("ATF") conducted an inspection of your firearms business at your licensed premises beginning on______, which continued through______. That inspection revealed the following violations of the Gun Control Act of 1968, Title 18, United States Code, Chapter 44 and the implementing regulations, Title 27, Code of Federal Regulations. Part 478. (List Violations found in Inspection, which could merit revocation).

[If pertinent] We note that you have been previously cited for the following violations. (List violations ATF previously cited involving this FFL).

[If pertinent] We also note that you attended a warning conference on ______ with ATF Area Supervisor ______ wherein these violations and remedial actions on your part were discussed.

Based upon the violations discovered, ATF is considering revoking your Federal firearms license ("FFL") number. However, prior to taking such action we would like to provide you with an opportunity to meet with us to discuss the violations, present a compliance plan, and explain to us any factors which you believe mitigate against revocation of your FFL. Although we do not believe it necessary, legal counsel may assist you at your own expense if you so choose.

The conference will be held on [Date] [Time] at [Location]. Please contact______, at (xxx-xxx) to confirm this appointment and to ask any questions you may have regarding this conference. We look forward to meeting with you to resolve these issues.

Sincerely yours,

Name Director, Industry Operations

EXHIBIT 2: AREA SUPERVISOR LED WARNING CONFERENCE LETTER

Certified Mail Mr. John Doe Gun Shop, Inc., 123 Anywhere St Anywhere USA 00000

Re: Federal Firearms License Dear Mr. Doe:

As you are aware, Investigators from the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives ("ATF") conducted an inspection of your firearms business at your licensed premises beginning on , which continued through . That inspection revealed the following violations of the Gun Control Act of 1968, Title 18, United States Code, Chapter 44 and the implementing regulations, Title 27, Code of Federal Regulations. Part 478.

[If pertinent] We note that you have been previously cited for the following violations. (List violations ATF previously cited involving this FFL).

[If pertinent] We also note that you attended a warning conference on with ATF Area Supervisor wherein these violations and remedial actions on your part were discussed.

Based upon the possible impact of the violations discovered on public safety and the Bureau's ability to complete firearm traces on behalf of law enforcement, ATF would like to provide you an opportunity to meet with us to discuss the violations and explain to us any potential mitigating factors and remedial measures which you have or plan to institute to ensure future compliance with the Gun Control Act. Legal counsel may assist you at your own expense if you so choose.

The conference will be held on [Date] [Time] at [Location]. Please contact ______, at (xxxxxx-xxxx) to confirm this appointment and to ask any questions you may have regarding this conference. We look forward to meeting with you to resolve these issues.

Sincerely yours,

Name Area Supervisor

EXHIBIT 3 EXPLANATION LETTER RE: NOTICE OF REVOCATION

Certified Mail Mr. John Doe Gun Shop, Inc., 123 Anywhere St Anywhere USA 00000

Re: Federal firearms license

Mr. Doe:

As you are aware, Investigators from the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives ("ATF") conducted an inspection of your firearms business at your licensed premises beginning on March 1, 2015, which continued through June 1, 2015 which revealed multiple willful violations of the Gun Control Act of 1968, Title 18, United States Code, Chapter 44 and the implementing regulations, Title 27, Code of Federal Regulations. Part 478.

Accordingly, attached is a Notice of Revocation, ATF Form 4500 citing these violations as a basis for the revocation of your Federal firearms license. Under part 478 you have 15 days from the date you received it to request a hearing.

In addition to requesting a hearing, prior to ATF assigning a hearing officer to this case and scheduling a hearing, you may request a meeting with the Director, Industry Operations, to address the violations cited in the Notice. The purpose of the meeting will be to try to resolve this matter without conducting a hearing. At this meeting, you will be permitted to submit mitigating facts and arguments for review and consideration. You will also be able to make offers for resolution of the proposed revocation action which could include setting forth a mutually agreed upon revocation date.

If you will like to schedule this meeting, please contact DIO ______at (xxx) xxx-xxxx within 15 days of the receipt of this letter. If we do not receive a response within that time frame, we will schedule the revocation hearing if you have requested one. We note that offers of settlement or resolution will not be entertained at the hearing.

Sincerely,

Name Director, Industry Operations



Hearings

The Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF) has made a determination to deny your original or renewal application for a Federal firearms license, to revoke or suspend your existing Federal firearms license, and/or to impose a civil fine against you.

As indicated on the enclosed form, you may request a hearing on this matter. This brochure provides general guidance and an overview of the hearing process for industry members that may assist you in making a decision to request a hearing, and to appropriately prepare for a hearing should you request one.

Background

The Gun Control Act of 1968 (GCA) and its implementing regulations specify certain licensing requirements for those intending to engage in a firearms business.

ATF is authorized to deny a license when the applicant is not qualified to receive or continue to hold the license. ATF may also revoke a license when it is determined that a current licensee is no longer eligible to continue licensed operations. Additionally, ATF may suspend a license or impose a civil fine for certain limited violations of the GCA.

Your Rights

ATF has made one of the above determinations in your case, issuing you either a Notice to Deny Application for License, a Notice to Revoke or Suspend License, and/or Impose a Civil Fine. You have the right to request a hearing to review the proposed licensing action found in the notice you received. This is done by submitting written notification to the Director, Industry Operations (DIO) who issued your Notice. Timeliness is important. You only have 15 days from your receipt of the Notice to request a hearing.

You may hire an attorney, although it is not required. It may be in your interest to do so. That is your option.

You may also submit offers of settlement or potential resolutions of the matter with the DIO prior to a hearing or after a hearing is held. However, offers of settlement will not be entertained at the hearing. In addition to requesting a hearing, you may also request a potential meeting with the DIO, prior to the assignment of a hearing officer, to discuss offers of settlement or potential resolutions of the matter NOTE: ATF is not obligated to grant meeting requests.

Hearing Overview

If you submit a letter requesting a hearing to the DIO in time, ATF will begin to make

EXPLANATION OF THE HEARING PROCESS

arrangements for the hearing. The date and time of the hearing will be set by ATF, but you will be consulted as to your availability. ATF will also notify you of the location of the hearing, taking into consideration convenience to you and the availability of government office space that is close to you to conduct the hearing. Once the date, time, and place are set, you will be notified in writing (via certified mail, return receipt requested).

NOTE: Under no circumstances will you, your representative, or witnesses be permitted to bring firearms or any other weapons to the hearing. The hearing will not begin, or will cease, if it is determined this policy has been violated.

The hearing is generally scheduled not later than 90 days from the date of the letter notifying you of the date, time, and location of the hearing. There are, however, limited circumstances that may require the hearing to be rescheduled for good cause, as determined by the DIO.

The hearing itself is informal in nature, and adherence to civil court rules and procedures is not required. There is no sworn testimony and formal courtroom procedures are not required. ATF will make an audio recording of the hearing. The resulting recording, along with exhibits presented at the hearing, constitute the official record of the hearing.

AIF does not make a video recording of the hearing proceedings and you will not be allowed to do so either. You may make an audio recording of the proceedings, or have the proceedings recorded by a stenographer at your own expense, provided this recording is not discuptive to the proceedings. However, the ATF recording of the hearing is the official record of the proceeding.

Hearing Officer

The hearing officer is designated by ATF. The selection of the hearing officer is dependent upon a number of factors, including the nature of your case. A hearing officer will be selected who has no prior knowledge of your case and has had no interactions with you or your licensed operations. In most cases, the assigned hearing officer will come from outside the ATF field division in which your licensed business is located.

Conduct of the Hearing

The hearing officer will ensure the proceedings are conducted in an orderly and professional manner. The purpose of the hearing is to allow both parties to fully present all relevant evidence and arguments regarding the denial or revocation of a license or permit. Most hearings require less than a single day to complete.

The government will be represented by an attorney and will present its evidence first. The government will generally call as a witness the ATF industry operations investigator(s) who conducted your application or compliance inspection, or other ATF employees who have relevant information concerning your case.

At the conclusion of the government's presentation, you will have the opportunity to respond. Make sure you state your case as clearly and factually as possible. The case you present will receive the same

EXPLANATION OF THE HEARING PROCESS

consideration by the hearing officer as the government's case. Be willing and prepared to address each violation described in the Notice you received. You may call witnesses. Your witnesses should be able to speak to the violations addressed in the Notice and may be, for example, the store manager, an employee, bookkeeper, or clerk. You may also present relevant evidence. Relevant evidence is evidence which tends to prove or disprove an issue at the hearing, such as whether the alleged violation occurred as stated in the Government's Notice.

Both parties have the right to question all witnesses. The party calling a witness shall have the right to re-direct examination of the witness. You should need no legal training to state your case. The most important consideration is that both parties —you and the government — are given a chance to fully explain the findings and violations disclosed during the application inspection or compliance inspection.

When the hearing officer is satisfied all evidence and arguments have been fully presented by the parties, the hearing officer will advise you that the DIO will make a decision in the matter and will notify you of the final decision in writing.

Following completion of the hearing, the hearing officer prepares a factual report summarizing the proceedings. This report will be forwarded to the DIO, who makes the denial or revocation decision for ATF.

A license may be denied based on your failure to satisfy licensing requirements.

Generally speaking, ATF may only revoke a Federal firearms license for willful violations of the GCA and its implementing regulations. "Willfulness," as defined by the courts, means the purposeful disregard of, or plain indifference to a licensee's known legal obligation. ATF is <u>not</u> required to prove you *intended* to violate the law, only that you knew your legal obligation as a licensee, and you purposefully disregarded or were plainly indifferent to this obligation.

In limited circumstances, ATF may revoke or suspend a license and/or impose a civil fine for certain specified knowing violations of the GCA.

If, after review of the entire record, the DIO concludes that you failed to satisfy licensing requirements, that willful violations occurred, or that you knowingly committed certain specified violations, the DIO may issue a Final Notice of Denial of Application, Revocations, Suspension and/or Fine of Firearms Licensee, which will be sent to you via certified mail.

If you are not satisfied with the final decision of the DIO, you may appeal the decision to Federal district court within 60 days. The hearing proceedings may become part of the review.

EXPLANATION OF THE HEARING PROCESS



If you have any questions concerning the hearing, please contact the DIO for the ATF division in which you are located.

Atlanta, GA	(404) 417-2600
Baltimore, MD	(443) 965-2000
Boston, MA	(617) 557-1200
Charlotte, NC	(704) 716-1800
Chicago, IL	(312) 846-7200
Columbus, OH	(614) 827-8400
Dallas, TX	(469) 227-4300
Denver, CO	(303) 575-7600
Detroit, MI	(313) 202-3400
Houston, TX	(281) 716-8200
Kansas City, MO	(816) 559-0700
Los Angeles, CA	(818) 265-2500
Louisville, KY	(502) 753-3400
Miami, FL	(305) 597-4800
Nashville, TN	(615) 565-1400
New Orleans, LA	(504) 841-7000
New York, NY	(646) 335-9000
Newark, NJ	(973) 413-1179
Philadelphia, PA	(215) 446-7800
Phoenix, AZ	(602) 776-5400
San Francisco, CA	(925) 557-2800
Seattle, WA	(206) 204-3205
St. Paul, MN	(651) 726-0200
Tampa, FL	(813) 202-7300
Washington, DC	(202) 648-8020